arXiv:1205.5845v1 [math.RA] 26 May 2012

On extended quasi-Armendariz rings

Hamideh Pourtaherian[1] , Isamiddin S.Rakhimov[2] *

[1,2] Department of Mathematics, FS and [2]Institute for Mathematical Research (INSPEM)
UPM, 43400, Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia.

Abstract

This paper deals with the quasi-Armendariz ring in general setting. We generalize the notions of quasi-Armendariz and α-skew Armendariz ring to quasi α-Armendariz and quasi α-skew Armendariz ring and investigate their properties. We also consider the quasi Armendariz properties of Laurent type rings.

1 Introduction

Let R be a ring and $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$ be an endomorphism. Then α-derivation δ of R is an additive map such that $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + \alpha(a)\delta(b)$, for all $a, b \in R$. *The Ore extension* $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ of R is the ring with the new multiplication $xr = \alpha(r)x + \delta(r)$ in the polynomial ring over R, where $r \in R$. If $\delta = 0$, we write $R[x; \alpha]$ and it is said to be a skew polynomial ring (also *The Ore extension of endomorphism type*.)

Some properties of skew polynomial rings have been studied in [4], [5], [6], [8] and [10].

A ring R is called *Armendariz*, if whenever polynomials $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + ... + a_nx^n$, $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + ... + b_mx^m \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then $a_ib_j = 0$ for each i and j. (The converse is always true). The name "Armendariz" was used by them because E.Armendariz [2] had shown that every reduced ring (i.e., has no nonzero nilpotent elements) satisfies this condition. Further properties of Armendariz rings and related problems have been studied in [1], [7], [13], [15] and [16].

According to Krempa [14], an endomorphism α of a ring R is called rigid, if for $r \in R$ the condition $r\alpha(r) = 0$ implies $r = 0$. In [9], a ring R has been called α-rigid if there exists a rigid endomorphism α of R. In the same paper it has been shown also that any rigid endomorphism of a ring is a monomorphism and α-rigid rings are reduced .

---

[*] Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [1]*pourtaherian09@gmail.com*, [2]*risamiddin@gmail.com*

The concept of α-skew Armendariz ring has been introduced in [8] which is a generalization of α-rigid ring and Armendariz ring. A ring R is said to be α-skew Armendariz ring, if for $p = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j$ in $R[x;\alpha]$ the condition $pq = 0$ implies $a_i \alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for all i and j.

The Armendariz property of rings was extended to skew polynomial rings in [10]. Following Hong et al [10], a ring R is called α-Armendariz if for $p = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j$ in $R[x;\alpha]$ the condition $pq = 0$ implies $a_i b_j = 0$ for all i and j. α-Armendariz ring is a generalization of Armnedariz ring and α- rigid ring. The authors of [10] proved that an α- Armendariz ring is α-skew Armendariz.

In this paper we introduce the notion of quasi α- Armendariz ring, which is a generalization of α- rigid and quasi-Armendariz rings ($\alpha = I_R$), by considering the skew polynomial ring $R[x;\alpha]$ in place of the ring $R[x]$. Motivated by result of [7], [8], we investigate a generalization of α-skew Armendariz rings, which we call quasi α-skew Armendariz rings. Here, we extend the results of [7], [8], [9], [10] to quasi α- Armendariz (or simply $q.\alpha$-Armendariz) and quasi α-skew Armendariz rings (or simply $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz). The notions of quasi α-Armendariz and quasi α-skew Armendariz rings are useful in understanding the relationships between annihilators of rings R and $R[x;\alpha]$. We also introduce the notion of Laurent $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring and $q.\alpha$-skew power series Armendariz ring of Laurent type ring R with respect to an automorphism α of R. We do this by considering the quasi-Armendariz condition on polynomials in $R[x, x^{-1};\alpha]$ and $R[[x, x^{-1};\alpha]]$ instead of $R[x;\alpha]$. This provides an opportunity to study qausi-Armendariz rings in more general setting. The quasi α-skew Armendariz property was also studied under the name "*α-skew quasi-Armendariz*", by Hong et al. [11]. The conditions (SQA1)-(SQA4) in [6] are skew polynomial, skew power series, skew Laurent polynomial and skew Laurent power series versions of quasi-Armendariz rings. Cortes [5] used the term *quasi-skew Armendariz* for what is called *α-skew quasi-Armendariz* when α is an automorphism.

In [4] Başer and Kwak introduced the concept of α-quasi-Armendariz ring. A ring R is called *quasi-Armendariz ring with the endomorphism* α(or simply *α-quasi-Armendariz*) if for $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + ... + a_m x^m$, $q(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + ... + b_n x^n$ in $R[x;\alpha]$ satisfy $p(x)R[x;\alpha]q(x) = 0$, implies $a_i R[x;\alpha] b_j = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$ or equivalently, $a_i R \alpha^t(b_j) = 0$ for any nonnegative integer t and all i, j [4]. Başer and Kwak [4] also showed that every α-quasi-Armendariz ring is α-skew quasi-Armendariz in case that α is an epimorphism; but the converse does not hold, in general.

The organization of the paper is as follows. First, we introduce quasi α- Armendariz and quasi α-skew Armendariz rings and investigate their properties (Section 2). Then in Section 3 we consider Laurent $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring and Laurent $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz power series.

Onward throughout the paper, α stands for an endomorphism of R, unless specially noted. The set of all integer numbers and the set of all rational numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$, respectively. We keep the standard notation $\mathbb{Z}_4$ for the ring of integers module 4.

2 Extensions of quasi-Armendariz property

In this section, we introduce two new classes of rings, which are generalizations of quasi-Armendariz rings, replacing $R[x]$ by the skew polynomial ring $R[x;\alpha]$. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 1. A ring R is called a *quasi α-Armendariz ring* (or simply *$q.\alpha$-Armendariz*) ring if whenever $p = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j$ in $R[x;\alpha]$ satisfy $pR[x;\alpha]q = 0$, we have $a_i R b_j = 0$ for each i and j.

It is easily to see that an α-rigid ring is $q.\alpha$-Armendariz.

Definition 2. A ring R is called a *quasi α-skew Armendariz ring* (or simply *$q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz*) if whenever $p = a_0 + a_1x + ... + a_mx^m$, $q = b_0 + b_1x + ... + b_nx^n$ in $R[x;\alpha]$ satisfy $pR[x;\alpha]q = 0$, implies $a_i R\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$.

Recently, Hong et al. (see [11]) proved that for reduced ring R with an endomorphism α and $p = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j \in R[x;\alpha]$ the following holds true:

$pR[x;\alpha]q = 0$ if and only if $a_i R\alpha^{i+t}(b_j) = 0$ for any integer $t \geq 0$ and i, j. By using the result of Hong et al. we show that, reduced rings are $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz.

Proposition 1. *A reduced ring with an endomorphism α is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz.*

Proof. Let R be a reduced ring with an endomorphism α and $p = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j$ be elements of $R[x;\alpha]$ such that $pR[x;\alpha]q = 0$. Then for any $r \in R$ we have,

$$(a_0 + a_1x + ... + a_mx^m)r(b_0 + b_1x + ... + b_nx^n) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

We claim that $a_i R\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for any i, j. We proceed by induction on $i + j$. If $i + j = 0$, then $a_0R(b_0) = 0$. Assume that for $i + j = k - 1$ where $1 \leq k \leq m + n$, $a_i R\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ is true. The coefficient of x^k is zero, so we obtain:

$$a_0rb_k + a_1\alpha(rb_{k-1}) + ... + a_k\alpha^k(rb_0) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

We replace r by b_0 in (2.2) we get

$$a_0b_0b_k + a_1\alpha(b_0b_{k-1}) + ... + a_k\alpha^k(b_0^2) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

Thus $a_k\alpha^k(b_0)\alpha^k(b_0) = 0$ by the induction hypothesis. Since R is reduced we get $\alpha^k(b_0)a_k\alpha^k(b_0) = 0$. Therefore, $(\alpha^k(b_0)a_k)^2 = 0$, and $\alpha^k(b_0)a_k = 0$, then $a_kR\alpha^k(b_0) = 0$. Hence (2.2) becomes

$$a_0rb_k + a_1\alpha(rb_{k-1}) + ... + a_{k-1}\alpha^{k-1}(rb_1) = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

Next We replace r by b_1 in (2.4), then $a_{k-1}\alpha^{k-1}(b_1) = 0$, so $a_{k-1}R\alpha^{k-1}(b_1) = 0$. With the same method as above we have, $a_iR\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for any $i + j = k$. Consequently we have $a_iR\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for any $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring. □

Corollary 1. *α-rigid rings are $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz.*

Here there is an example of $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring which is not α-skew Armendariz.

Example 1. We refer to the example from [8], Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ with endomorphism $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$ defined by $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$. The ring R is reduced, so it is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring but is not α-skew Armendariz.

Başer et al. (see [3]) proved that for a ring isomorphism $\sigma : R \longrightarrow S$, the ring R is an α-skew McCoy if and only if S is a $(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})$-skew McCoy ring. The next theorem is a counterpart of this result in $q.\alpha$-Armendariz and $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz rings cases.

Theorem 1. *Let σ be an isomorphism from a ring R into a ring S. Then one has*

1. *R is an α-skew Armendariz ring if and only if S is a $(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})$-skew Armendariz.*
2. *R is an α-Armendariz ring if and only if S is a $(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})$-Armendariz.*
3. *R is a $q.\alpha$-Armendariz ring if and only if S is a $q.(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})$-Armendariz.*
4. *R is a $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring if and only if S is a $q.(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})$-skew Armendariz.*

Proof. Let a' stand for the image of an element a of R under σ. Then one has

$$p = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_ix^i,\ q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_jx^j \in R[x;\alpha] \text{ if and only if } p' = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a'_ix^i,\ q' = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b'_jx^j \in S[x;\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}].$$

First we prove that

$$pR[x;\alpha]q = 0 \ \text{ if and only if } \ p'S[x;\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}]q' = 0.$$

Indeed, suppose that $pR[x;\alpha]q = 0$. Let $h = \sum\limits_{l=0}^{t} c_lx^l \in R[x;\alpha]$ and $h' = \sum\limits_{l=0}^{t} c'_lx^l$ be elements of $S[x;\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}]$.
Then $phq = 0$ if and only if

$\sum\limits_{i+j+l=k} a_i\alpha^i(c_l)\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for each $0 \leq k \leq m+n+t$,

if and only if $\sum\limits_{i+j+l=k} \sigma(a_i)\sigma(\alpha^i(c_l))\sigma(\alpha^i(b_j)) = \sum\limits_{i+j+l=k} \sigma(a_i)(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^i\sigma(c_l)(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^i\sigma(b_j) = \sum\limits_{i+j+l=k} a'_i(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^i(c'_l)(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^i(b'_j) = 0$,

for each $0 \leq k \leq m+n+t$, ($(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^i = \sigma\alpha^i\sigma^{-1}$ for any integer i) if and only if $p'h'q' = 0$. Since h runs $R[x;\alpha]$ its image h' runs $S[x;\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}]$. Therefore we get $p'S[x;\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}]q' = 0$.

Now we turn to the assertions of the theorem.

1. Suppose that R is α-skew Armendariz. Let $p'q' = 0$, then according to [3] we get $pq = 0$. Hence $a_i\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$, due to R is α-skew Armendariz. Since σ is monomorphism, we obtain $\sigma(a_i\alpha^i(b_j)) = \sigma(a_i)(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^i\sigma(b_j) = a'_i(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^ib'_j = 0$ for all i and j. Hence S is $(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})$-skew Armendariz ring. The converse is proved similarly.

2. Assume that R is α-Armendariz ring. Let $p'q' = 0$, then $pq = 0$. Hence $a_ib_j = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$. If apply σ we get $\sigma(a_ib_j) = \sigma(a_i)\sigma(b_j) = a'_ib'_j = 0$ for all i and j. Therefore S is $(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})$-Armendariz ring. The converse is similar.

3. It is proved by the same method as the above.

4. Suppose that R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz. Let $p'S[x;\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}]q' = 0$, then we have $pR[x;\alpha]q = 0$, and $a_iR\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$. If applying σ we get $\sigma(a_i)\sigma(R)(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^i\sigma(b_j) = a'_iS(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})^ib'_j = 0$, for all i and j. Therefore S is $q.(\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1})$-skew Armendariz ring. The "only if part" again is similar.

$\square$

Proposition 2. *Let R_1 and R_2 be rings. Let α_1 and α_2 be endomorphisms of R_1 and R_2, respectively. Consider the endomorphism $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ of the direct product $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ defined by $\alpha(x_1, x_2) = (\alpha_1(x_1), \alpha_2(x_2))$. Then*

1. *If R_1 and R_2 are α_1-Armendariz and α_2-Armendariz, respectively, then R is an α-Armendariz ring.*

2. *If R_1 and R_2 are α_1-skew Armendariz and α_2-skew Armendariz ring, respectively, then R is an α-skew Armendariz ring.*

Proof. Let $p = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m}(a_i, b_i)x^i$, $q = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n}(c_j, d_j)x^j$ be elements of $R[x;\alpha]$ with $pq = 0$. Let $p = (p_0, p_1)$, $q = (q_0, q_1)$, where $p_0 = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} a_ix^i$, $q_0 = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} c_jx^j \in R_1[x;\alpha_1]$ and $p_1 = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} b_ix^i$, $q_1 = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} d_jx^j \in R_2[x;\alpha_2]$.

1. Suppose that $pq = (p_0, p_1)(q_0, q_1) = (p_0q_0, p_1q_1) = 0$. Then $p_0q_0 = 0$ and $p_1q_1 = 0$. However, by the hypotheses of the theorem $a_ic_j = 0$ and $b_id_j = 0$. Therefore, $(a_i, b_i)(c_j, d_j) = (a_ic_j, b_id_j) = 0$ and it implies that R is an α-Armendariz ring.

2. Like to the previous case $pq = (p_0, p_1)(q_0, q_1) = (p_0q_0, p_1q_1) = 0$ implies $p_0q_0 = 0$, and $p_1q_1 = 0$. According to the assumptions on R_1 and R_2 we get $a_i\alpha_1^i(c_j) = 0$, and $b_i\alpha_2^i(d_j) = 0$. Then $(a_i, b_i)\alpha^i((c_j, d_j)) = (a_i\alpha_1^i(c_j), b_i\alpha_2^i(d_j)) = 0$, therefore R is an α-skew Armendariz ring.

□

It is obvious that the assertions of the previous proposition can be easily extended to finite number rings case.

Recall that, a ring is said to be *semi-commutative*, if whenever elements $a, b \in R$ satisfy $ab = 0$, then $aRb = 0$.

Proposition 3. *Let R be a semi-commutative α-skew Armendariz ring and $\alpha(1) = 1$. Then R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring.*

Proof. Let p, q be elements of $R[x; \alpha]$, with $pR[x; \alpha]q = 0$. Since $R[x; \alpha]$ is a ring with identity, we get $pq = 0$. Hence $a_i\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$. By the hypothesis, R is semi-commutative, then $a_iR\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$. Therefore R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring. □

Since for α-Armendariz rings $\alpha(1) = 1$ (see [10]) then as a consequence of Proposition 3 one can say that a semi-commutative α-Armendariz ring is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz. It is also easily can be seen that a semi-commutative α-Armendariz ring is $q.\alpha$-Armendariz.

Recall that a ring R is said to be *symmetric*, if $abc = 0 \implies bac = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in R$, *reversible*, if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Corollary 2. *Let R be a semi-commutative (in particular, reduced, commutative, symmetric, reversible) ring. If R is α-skew Armendariz and $\alpha(1) = 1$ then R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring.*

Proof. The proof is immediate from Proposition 3. □

The following proposition is an extension of a result from [8].

Proposition 4. *Let R be a domain and α be a monomorphism of R. Then R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring.*

Proof. Let $p = a_0 + a_1x + ... + a_mx^m, q = b_0 + b_1x + ... + b_nx^n$ be elements of $R[x; \alpha]$, with $pR[x; \alpha]q = 0$. We claim that $a_iR\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$, for all i and j. Assume that there exists $a_k \neq 0$ such that $a_0 = a_1 = ... = a_{k-1} = 0$, where $0 \leq k \leq m$. Let c be a nonzero arbitrary element of R, hence $pcq = 0$. The coefficient of x^k in pcq is $a_0cb_k + a_1\alpha(c)\alpha(b_{k-1}) + ... + a_k\alpha^k(c)\alpha^k(b_0)$. It is zero. Since R is domain and α is monomorphism, we obtain $a_k\alpha^k(c)\alpha^k(b_0) = 0$ and so $\alpha^k(b_0) = 0$.

Following the same way as above it is easy to see that $\alpha^k(b_1) = 0$. Continuing this process we derive, $\alpha^k(b_0) = \alpha^k(b_1) = ... = \alpha^k(b_n) = 0$. So $a_i R\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$, for any $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring. $\square$

The proof of the following proposition can be handled similarly.

Proposition 5. *Let R be a domain and α be a monomorphism of R. Then R is $q.\alpha$-Armendariz ring.*

Lemma 1. *Let R be an α-skew Armendariz ring. If $P_{i_1}, ..., P_{i_n} \in R[x;\alpha]$ with $P_{i_1}P_{i_2}...P_{i_n} = 0$, then $a_{i_1}\alpha^{i_1}(a_{i_2})\alpha^{i_1+i_2}(a_{i_3})...\alpha^{i_1+...+i_{n-1}}(a_{i_n}) = 0$, where a_{i_j} is an appropriate coefficient of P_{i_j} for each j.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on n. If $n = 1$ then from $P_{i_1} = 0$ we obtain $a_{i_1} = 0$. For $n = 2$ by the definition of α-skew Armendariz we have $a_{i_1}a_{i_2} = 0$. Suppose that our claim is true for $n-1$ we prove for n.
Let $(P_{i_1}...P_{i_{n-1}})P_{i_n} = 0$, by the induction hypothesis and using the definition of α-skew Armendariz ring, we have $(a_{i_1}\alpha^{i_1}(a_{i_2})...\alpha^{i_1+...+i_{n-2}}(a_{i_{n-1}}))\alpha^{i_1+...+i_{n-1}}(a_{i_n}) = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 2. *Let R be an α-skew Armendariz ring. Then R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz for any epimorphism α of R.*

Proof. Suppose that R is an α-skew Armendariz, $p = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j$ are in $R[x;\alpha]$, with $pR[x;\alpha]q = 0$. Then, in particular, for an arbitrary element c of R, we get $pcq = 0$. Therefore, $a_0\alpha^0(c)\alpha^0(b_j) = a_0 c b_j = 0$, for all $0 \leq j \leq n$, by using the previous lemma.
$pcq = a_0c(b_0 + b_1x + ... + b_nx^n) + (a_1x + ... + a_mx^m)c(b_0 + b_1x + ... + b_nx^n) = (a_1x + ... + a_mx^m)c(b_0 + b_1x + ... + b_nx^n)$.

Since $a_0cb_j = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$ we obtain $0 = (a_1 + a_2x + ... + a_mx^{m-1})xc(b_0 + b_1x + ... + b_nx^n) = (a_1 + a_2x + ... + a_mx^{m-1})\alpha(c)(\alpha(b_0)x + ... + \alpha(b_n)x^{n+1})$.
Put $p_1 = a_1 + a_2x + ... + a_mx^{m-1}$ and $q_1 = \alpha(b_0)x + ... + \alpha(b_n)x^{n+1}$.

Since R is α-skew Armendariz we get $a_i\alpha^i(c)\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_1\alpha(c)(\alpha(b_0)x + ... + \alpha(b_n)x^{n+1}) + (a_2x + ... + a_mx^{m-1})\alpha(c)(\alpha(b_0)x + ... + \alpha(b_n)x^{n+1}) \\ &= (a_2x + ... + a_mx^{m-1})\alpha(c)(\alpha(b_0)x + ... + \alpha(b_n)x^{n+1}) \\ &= (a_2 + a_3x + ... + a_mx^{m-2})\alpha^2(c)(\alpha^2(b_0)x^2 + ... + \alpha^2(b_n)x^{n+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Put $p_2 = a_2 + a_3x + ... + a_mx^{m-2}$, $q_2 = \alpha^2(b_0)x^2 + ... + \alpha^2(b_n)x^{n+2}$. Then if one uses again the fact that R is α-skew Armendariz $p_2\alpha^2(c)q_2 = 0$ implies $a_i\alpha^i(c)\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for each $2 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$.
Continuing this process, we derive $a_iR\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring. $\square$

Corollary 3. *Let R be an α-Armendariz ring. Then R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz for any epimorphism α of R.*

The previous theorem includes a result of Hong et al. from [10], as a particular case.

For an ideal I of R, if $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ then $\bar{\alpha} : R/I \longrightarrow R/I$ defined by $\bar{\alpha}(a+I) = \alpha(a)+I$ is an endomorphism of R/I.

The homomorphic image of a $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring need not be so.

Example 2. We refer to the example from [8]. Consider a ring $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ \bar{b} & a \end{pmatrix} | a \in \mathbb{Z}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$.

Let $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$ be the endomorphism defined by $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ \bar{b} & a \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ -\bar{b} & a \end{pmatrix}$.

The ring R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz. Notice that for $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ \bar{b} & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ \bar{d} & c \end{pmatrix} \in R$. Addition and multiplication are performed as follows:

$(a,\bar{b})+(c,\bar{d}) = (a+c,\bar{b}+\bar{d})$ and $(a,\bar{b}).(c,\bar{d}) = (ac, a\bar{d}+\bar{b}c)$. Let $p = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \begin{pmatrix} a_i & 0 \\ \bar{b}_i & a_i \end{pmatrix} x^i = (a_i,\bar{b}_i)x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} c_j & 0 \\ \bar{d}_j & c_j \end{pmatrix} x^j = (c_j,\bar{d}_j)x^j \in R[x;\alpha]$, with $pq = 0$.

$(a_0,\bar{b}_0)(c_0,\bar{d}_0) = (a_0c_0, a_0\bar{d}_0+\bar{b}_0c_0) = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_0c_0 = 0$ and $a_0\bar{d}_0+\bar{b}_0c_0 = 0$. By using the fact that $\mathbb{Z}$ is reduced, we obtain $\bar{b}_0c_0 = 0$ and then $a_0\bar{d}_0 = 0$. From $(a_0,\bar{b}_0)(c_1,\bar{d}_1)+(a_1,\bar{b}_1)(c_0,-\bar{d}_0) = 0$ we get $a_0c_1+a_1c_0 = 0$. If we multiply on the left side by c_0, then we derive $a_1c_0 = 0$ and $a_0c_1 = 0$. Continuing this process, we have $a_ic_j = a_i\bar{d}_j = \bar{b}_ic_j = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$. Hence $\begin{pmatrix} a_i & 0 \\ \bar{b}_i & a_i \end{pmatrix} . \begin{pmatrix} c_j & 0 \\ \bar{d}_j & c_j \end{pmatrix} = 0$ for all i and j. Therefore R is α-skew Armendariz and due to Theorem 2 R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring.

For the ideal $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} | a \in 4\mathbb{Z} \right\}$ of R, the quotient ring $R/I \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & 0 \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} | \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$ is not $q.\bar{\alpha}$-skew Armendariz.

Indeed, $(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & 0 \\ \bar{0} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & 0 \\ \bar{1} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} x)R/I[x;\bar{\alpha}](\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & 0 \\ \bar{0} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & 0 \\ \bar{1} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} x) = 0$.

However $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & 0 \\ \bar{1} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} (R/I)\bar{\alpha}(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & 0 \\ \bar{0} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix}) \neq 0$.

Here is an example of commutative α-Armendariz, $q.\alpha$-Armendariz and $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring that is not α-rigid.

Given a ring R and a bimodule ${}_RM_R$. The *trivial extension* of R by M is the ring $T(R,M) = R \oplus M$ with the usual addition and the multiplication defined as follows:

$$(r_1,m_1)(r_2,m_2) = (r_1r_2, r_1m_2+m_1r_2).$$

Example 3. Let $R = T(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Q})$ be the trivial extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Q}$, with automorphism $\alpha : R \longrightarrow R$ defined by $\alpha((a,s)) = (a, s/2)$. The ring R is α-Armendariz and α-skew Armendariz but is not α-rigid (see [10]). We prove that R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz.

Indeed, Let $p = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} A_i x^i$ and $q = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} B_j x^j$ be elements of $R[x;\alpha]$, such that $pR[x;\alpha]q = \bar{0}$, where $A_i = (a_i, b_i), B_j = (c_j, d_j) \in R$ for $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$. We claim that $A_i R\alpha^i(B_j) = \bar{0}$.

Let $p = (p_0, p_1), q = (q_0, q_1)$, where $p_0 = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i, q_0 = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} c_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $p_1 = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i$ and $q_1 = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} d_j x^j \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Let $c = (e, f)$ be an arbitrary element of R, then

$$pcq = (p_0, p_1)(e, f)(q_0, q_1) = (p_0 e q_0, p_0 e q_1 + p_0 f q_0 + p_1 e q_0) = \bar{0}$$

1. If $p_0 = 0$, then $p_1 e q_0 = 0$, we get either p_1 or e or q_0 is zero ($\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is a domain).

   We consider the following cases:

   (a) Let $p_0 = 0$, then $a_i = 0$ for all i and $p_1 = 0$, then $b_i = 0$ for all i. Then, clearly, $A_i R\alpha^i(B_j) = \bar{0}$.

   (b) If $e = 0$ and $p_0 = 0$ then

   $$A_i c\alpha^i(B_j) = (0, b_i)(0, f)\alpha^i(B_j) = \bar{0}.$$

   (c) Let now $p_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 0$ then again

   $$A_i c\alpha^i(B_j) = (0, b_i)(e, f)\alpha^i((0, d_j)) = (0, b_i e)(0, d_j/2^i) = \bar{0}$$

2. If $e = 0$, one can easily prove again that $A_i R\alpha^i(B_j) = \bar{0}$.

3. The case $q_0 = 0$ also is handled similarly.

Therefore R is a $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring. Similarly one can easily to show that, R is $q.\alpha$-Armendariz ring as well.

The argument used in the previous example can be applied to show that the ring $R = T(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q})$, with automorphisms $\alpha_m : R \longrightarrow R$ defined by $\alpha_m((a, s)) = (a, s/m)$, ($m$ is nonzero integer) also is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz.

Example 4. Let $R_m = T(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_m)$ with endomorphism $\alpha : R_m \longrightarrow R_m$ defined by $\alpha((a, \bar{s})) = (a, -\bar{s})$, where m is a prime number. Then R_m is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz and $q.\alpha$-Armendariz ring utilizing the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_m$ is Armendariz ring by [16].

Indeed, let $p = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{t} A_i x^i$ and $q = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} B_j x^j$ be elements of $R_m[x;\alpha]$, such that $pR_m[x;\alpha]q = \bar{0}$, where $A_i = (a_i, \bar{b}_i), B_j = (c_j, \bar{d}_j) \in R_m$ for $0 \leq i \leq t, 0 \leq j \leq n$. Then $p = (p_0, \bar{p}_1), q = (q_0, \bar{q}_1)$, where $p_0 = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{t} a_i x^i, q_0 = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} c_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\bar{p}_1 = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{t} \bar{b}_i x^i$

and $\bar{q}_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{d}_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}_m[x]$. If $c = (e, \bar{f})$ be a arbitrary element of R_m, then $pcq = \bar{0}$. Hence $p_0 e q_0 = 0$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a domain it implies that either $p_0 = 0$ or $q_0 = 0$ or $e = 0$. Now going over the options and using the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_m[x]$ is integral domain (remind that m is prime) it is easy to show that R_m is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz and $q.\alpha$-Armendariz ring.

Example 5. Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} | a, b \in F \right\}$, where F is a field and α is the endomorphism of R defined by $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The ring R is $q.\alpha$-Armendariz.

Truly, let $p = \sum_{i=0}^{m} A_i x^i$ and $q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} B_j x^j$ be in $R[x;\alpha]$, with $pR[x;\alpha]q = 0$, where $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B_j = \begin{pmatrix} c_j & d_j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$. Suppose that $A_0 \neq 0$ and $B_0 \neq 0$. Let $C = \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be an arbitrary element of R, then according to the hypotheses $pCq = 0$. We have the following system of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (0) &: A_0 C B_0 = 0, \\ (1) &: A_0 C B_1 + A_1 \alpha(C)\alpha(B_0) = 0, \\ &\vdots \\ (m+n) &: A_0 C B_{m+n} + A_1 \alpha(C)\alpha(B_{m+n-1}) + \ldots + A_{m+n}\alpha^{m+n}(C)\alpha^{m+n}(B_0) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

From (0), we obtain:

$$A_0 C B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 e c_0 & a_0 e d_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore $a_0 e c_0 = a_0 e d_0 = 0$. If $a_0 \neq 0$ and $e \neq 0$, then $c_0 = d_0 = 0$, which is contradiction. Hence $a_0 = 0$ or $e = 0$.

1. If $a_0 = 0$ then we get $A_0 R B_j = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$. From (1), we have:

   $$A_1 \alpha(C)\alpha(B_0) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 e c_0 & -a_1 e d_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

   Then $a_1 e c_0 = a_1 e d_0 = 0$, hence $a_1 = 0$ or $e = 0$. If $a_1 = 0$ then $A_1 R Bj = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$. Continuing this process we derive $A_i R Bj = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$.

2. If $e = 0$ then

   $$A_i C B_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} c_j & d_j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Therefore R is $q.\alpha$-Armendariz ring. The similar observation shows that R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring as well.

Example 6. Let F be a field. Consider the rings $R_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} | a, b \in F \right\}$ and $R_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} | d \in F \right\}$ with the endomorphisms $\alpha_1 : R_1 \longrightarrow R_1$ and $\alpha_2 : R_2 \longrightarrow R_2$, defined by $\alpha_1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$, and $\alpha_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. Then R_1, R_2 are α-Armendariz due to [10]. Hence they are α-skew Armendariz. Therefore they are $q.\alpha$-Armendariz and $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz rings.

3 Quasi-Armendariz property on skew polynomial Laurent series rings

In this section we introduce the concepts Laurent quasi α-skew Armendariz and Laurent quasi α-skew Armendariz power series rings. They are in certain sense generalizations of $q.\alpha$-Armendariz rings. We extend results from [12] to the case of Laurent quasi α-skew Armendariz and Laurent quasi α-skew Armendariz power series rings. The results of [12] concerned the extension from α-skew Armendariz rings to the $q.\alpha$-Armendariz rings case. Throughout, this section, α denotes a ring automorphism. We start with the following definition.

Definition 3. A ring R is said to be *$q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring of Laurent type* (or shortly, *Laurent $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz*) if whenever $p = \sum\limits_{i=-m}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum\limits_{j=-t}^{s} b_j x^j$ in $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$, satisfy $pR[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]q = 0$ we have $a_i R \alpha^i(b_j) = 0$, $-m \leq i \leq n$ and $-t \leq j \leq s$.

Jokanović [12], proved that R is α-skew Armendariz ring if and only if R is α-skew Armendariz of Laurent type. Here is more general result concerning this matter.

Theorem 3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *R is a $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring .*
2. *R is a Laurent $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring.*

Proof. Since $R[x; \alpha] \subset R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$ it is sufficient to show that if R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring then R is $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring of Laurent type. Suppose that $p = \sum\limits_{i=-m}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum\limits_{j=-t}^{s} b_j x^j$ be elements of $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$, such that $pR[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]q = 0$. Obviously for arbitrary element c of R we have $pcq = 0$. Consider $x^l p$ and qx^t which are in

$R[x;\ \alpha]$ then $x^l pcqx^t = 0$. It implies that $\alpha^l(a_i)\alpha^l(c)\alpha^{i+l}(b_j) = 0$ for $-m \leq i \leq n, -t \leq j \leq s$. Moreover, $\alpha^l(a_i c\alpha^i(b_j)) = 0$, since α is automorphism. Hence $a_i R\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$. Therefore R is Laurent $q.\alpha$-skew Armendariz ring. ☐

Recall that, a ring R is called *$q.\alpha$-skew power series Armendariz ring*, if for every $p = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in R[[x;\alpha]]$, the condition $pR[[x;\alpha]]q = 0$ implies $a_i R\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq \infty, 0 \leq j \leq \infty$.

Definition 4. A ring R is said to be a *$q.\alpha$-skew power series Armendariz ring of Laurent type,* if for every $p = \sum\limits_{i=-n}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ and $q = \sum\limits_{j=-m}^{\infty} b_j x^j$ in $R[[x, x^{-1};\alpha]]$, satisfy $pR[[x, x^{-1};\alpha]]q = 0$ implies $a_i R\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$, for all $-n \leq i \leq \infty$ and $-m \leq j \leq \infty$.

Theorem 4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *R is a $q.\alpha$-skew power series Armendariz ring.*
2. *R is a $q.\alpha$-skew power series Armendariz ring of Laurent type.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3. ☐

References

[1] D.D. Anderson and V.Camillo, Armendariz rings and Gaussian rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 26(7), (1998), 2265-2272.

[2] E.P. Armendariz, A note on extesions of Baer and $p.p.-$ring, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 18, (1974), 470-473.

[3] M. Başer , T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee, The McCoy Condition on Skew Polynomial Rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 37, (2009), 4026-4037.

[4] M. Başer , T. K. Kwak, Quasi-Armendariz property for Skew Polynomial Rings, *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.*, 26(4), (2011), 557-573.

[5] W. Cortes, Skew Armendariz rings and annihilator ideals of skew polynomial rings, Algebraic structures and their representations, 249-259, *Contemp. Math.*, 376, *Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI,* (2005).

[6] E. Hashemi and A. Moussavi, Polynomial extensions of quasi-Baer rings, *Acta. Math. Hungar*, 107(3), (2005), 207-224.

[7] Y. Hirano, On annihilator ideals of a polynomial ring over a noncommutative ring, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 168(1), (2002), 45-52.

[8] C.Y.Hong, N.K.Kim and T.K.Kwak, On skew Armendariz rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 31(1), (2003), 103-122.

[9] C.Y.Hong, N.K.Kim and T.K.Kwak, Ore extensions of Baer and *p.p.*-rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 151, (2000), 215-226.

[10] C.Y.Hong, T.K.Kwak and S.T.Rizvi, Extensions of generalized Armendariz rings, *Algebra Colloq*, 13(2), (2006), 253-266.

[11] C.Y.Hong, N.K.Kim and Y.Lee, Skew polynomial rings over semiprime rings, *J. Korean Math. Soc*, 47(5), (2010), 879-897.

[12] D. Jokanović, Properties of Armendariz rings and weak Armendariz rings, *Publications de l'Institut Mathmatique, Nouvelle Série*, 85(99), (2009), 131-137.

[13] N.K. Kim and Y. Lee, Armendariz rings and reduced rings, *J. Algebra*, 223(2), (2000), 477-488.

[14] J.Krempa, Some examples of reduced rings, *Algebra Colloq.*, 3(4), (1996), 289-300.

[15] H. Pourtaherian and I. S. Rakhimov, On Armendariz Ring and its generalizations, *JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications*, 15(2), (2009), 101 - 111.

[16] M.B. Rege, S. Chhawchharia, Armendariz rings, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 73, (1997), 14-17.